



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/081,125	02/21/2002	Mark A. Angel	1546.013US1	8540	
21186 75	90 11/04/2005		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMA	N, LUNDBERG, WOE	FISCHER, ANDREW J			
1600 TCF TOW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3627		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/081,12	,125 ANGEL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Andrew J.	Fischer	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	7.0		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be tirr expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communica  (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Or</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is no wance except f	or formal matters, pro		s is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con					
	on Papers						
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examement The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)[ the drawing(s) be rection is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice  No	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Page 2 - 20051029

Application/Control Number: 10/081,125

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Acknowledgements

- 1. Applicants' response filed August 1, 2005 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-79 remain pending.
- 2. This Office Action, the "First Non Final Office Action" is given Paper No. 20051029.
- 3. All references in this Office Action to the capitalized versions of "Applicants" refers specifically the Applicants of record. References to lower case versions of "applicant" or "applicants" refers to any or all patent "applicants." Unless expressly noted otherwise, references to "Examiner" in this Office Action refers to the Examiner of record while reference to or use of the lower case version of "examiner" or "examiners" refers to examiner(s) generally.
- 4. This Office Action is written in OACS. Because of this, the Examiner is unable to control formatting, paragraph numbering, font, spelling, line spacing, and/or other word processing issues. The Examiner sincerely apologies for these errors.

#### Restriction

5. Applicants response filed August 1, 2005 elected Group I with traverse. Applicants argue that for independent claims 1 and 37, "while different, cannot be objectively be viewed as being completely unrelated . . . ." The Examiner has reviewed the claims again and because of Applicants' arguments, the Examiner finds that the inventions found in Group I and Group II (as recited in the restriction mailed July 1, 2005) are not patentably distinct. Because the inventions are not patentably distinct, a restriction is improper at this time. Therefore the restriction as set forth in the office action mailed July 1, 2005 is hereby withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,125

Art Unit: 3627

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112 2nd Paragraph

- 6. The following is a quotation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. In view of the nature and scope of Applicants' invention, Applicants present an unreasonable number of claims which are repetitious and/or multiplied, the result of which confuses rather than clarifies the claimed subject matter. Therefore, in accordance with MPEP §2173.05(n), claims 1-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph based on undue multiplicity.
- 9. The Examiner respectfully requests Applicants choose approximately 20 claims for examination.

### Conclusion

10. The following three (3) citations to the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP") apply to this Office Action: MPEP citations to Chapters 200-900, 1200-1400, and 1700-1900, 2100, 2200, 2600 are from the MPEP 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Rev. 3, August 2005. MPEP citations to Chapters 100, 1000, 1100, 1500, 2000, 2500, and 2700 are from the MPEP 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Rev. 2, May 2004. MPEP citations to Chapters 1600, 2300, 2400 are from MPEP 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, August 2001.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,125 Page 4 - 20051029

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6779.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Andrew J Fischer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627

aglischer 10/29/as

AJF October 29, 2005